*Tetrahedron* Vol. 45, No. 5, pp. 1429 to 1439, 1989 Printed **in Great** Britain.

#### $\delta$ -AMINO  $\alpha$ -ACETYLENIC EPOXIDES.

Preparation and biological activity due to an aldehyde reductase inhibiti

# Didier BERNARD<sup>1</sup>, Alain DOUTHEAU<sup>1</sup>, Jacques GORE<sup>~1</sup>, Jacques MOULINOUX<sup>2</sup>, Véronique QUEMENER<sup>2</sup>, Jacqueline  $\text{CHAPTER}^{3}$  and Gérard QUASH<sup>3</sup>

(1) **Laboratoire de Chimie Organique I, CNRS UA 467, ESCIL,** Universit6 **Claude** Bernard **Lyon I, 43Bd du 11Novembre 1918, 69622 VILLEURBPNNE, France .** 

(2) Groupe de Recherche en Thérapeutique Anticancéreuse, CHU de Rennes, 2 Avenue du Professeur Léon-Bernard, 35043 Rennes Cédex, France.

(3) Unité de Virologie Fondamentale et Appliquée, INSERM, 1 Place Professeur Joseph Renaut, 69371 Lyon Cédex 08, France.

*(Received in Belgium 22 November 1988)* 

### Abstract

The preparation of several of the entitled epoxides  $\frac{1}{2}$  is described in two steps starting from 2-methyl 2-amino but 3-yne, the first one being the reaction of the lithium acetylide with a<br>α-chloroaldehyde or ketone. In certain cases, this reaction is highly diastereoselective giving **96% oftheR\*S\* diastereoisomer.** 

One of these epoxides (3a) inhibits very selectively the growth of transformed cells. This **activity is due to the inhibition of aldehyde reductase, an enzyme involved in the intracellular**  transformation of malonaldehyde derived from polyamines into 1,3-propanediol.

It is now well established that the natural polyamines (putrescine, spermine and spermidine) **play an important role in the regulation of cell growth (1) and that the intraceliular concentration of these compounds is increased during the early stage of the transformation of cells by either mcogeneous viruses or chemical carcinogens (2).Morerecently, it was established that a part of the intracellular malondialdehyde (M)A) canes** from the **oxidation of spenine** by **the**  combined action of polyamine oxidase (PAO) and diamine oxidase (DAO) via 3-aminopropanal as **intermediate (31. Consequently, it was proposed that the concentration of MDA in cells is regulated by four enzymes** : **PA0 which transforms polyamines into 3-amino propanal and DAD which oxidizes this last compound are responsible for the increase of the MDA content while aldehyde**  dehydrogenases (AlCH) 1 or 2 and aldehyde reductase (AlR) transform it to malonic acid or **1,3-propanediol respectively** ; **3-emincpropanal itself can be oxidized by AlM 1 to the corresponding acid, !3 alanine, thus** decreasing **the MDA content (4) (scheme 1).** 

As it is well **recognized that MDA, by its action upon CNA replication, is a powerful inhibitor of cell growth (51, we became interested in developing canpounds able to decrease or suppress the activity of one of these enzymes, AlMl and 2 or AlR, involved in controlling intracellular MDA levels. It was postulated on starting this program that the activity** of such inhibitors should be **greater for transformed cells, and if so, this approach should allow us to accede to selective inhibitors with an evident** interest **in anti-cancer applications.** 

**Our first results in this field showed that it is possible to have this kind of affect with**  certain  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  '-difunctionnal acetylenic compounds and mainly with 4-aminoacetylenic carbonyl compounds 1, the most effective in activity and selectivity being 4-amino 4-methyl 2-pentyne l-al **La** (AMPAL) which proved to be an irreversible inhibitor of AlDHl. This compound selectively **decreased the growth of transformed cells in in-vitro assays (61, and also exhibited an in-vivo**  antitumour activity towards certain leukemias and carcinomas (7).



Evidently, the main disadvantage of AMPAL la lies in its instability at room temperature, **even in dilute aqueous solutions. For this reason, the stored diethyl ketal 2 has to be extemporaneously cleaved by treatment 12 hours at 37' by an equimolar amount of 0.2 M aqueous hydrochloric acid.** 

**One possible explanation for the** biological **activity of AMPAL lies in a** Michael **addition of a nucleophilic site of the enzyme to the activated acetylenic bond. In our search for more stable**  analogs, we considered the possibility that  $d$ -acetylenic epoxides of general formulae  $\overline{3}$  may have **a comparable effect.** 

**These ccmpounds can either act** by **themselves asMichaelacceptors or can isanerize to**  d **-allenic ketones (8), compounds bown (9) to be good inhibitors of certain enzymes by such a process.** 

**In this paper, we will describe the preparation of** several **of** these **epoxides 2 and will give the results concerning their activity on the growth of normal and transformed cells as well as their** inhibitory action **on aldehydereductase.** 





### Preparation of the epoxides 3

These compounds have been prepared in two steps starting fran the commercially available 2-methyl 2-amino but-3-yne 4 (scheme 2).



The reaction of one molar equivalent of n-butyl lithium with  $4$  in tetrahydrofuran, followed by the addition of the  $\alpha$ -chloroaldehyde or ketone  $\sum$  leads to the amino-chlorhydrin  $\leq$ , smoothly cyclized to the epoxides  $\geq$  by treatment with potassium t-butoxide in ether (table 1).

The yield of the first step is uniformly good while the result of the cyclization depends on the nature of  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  which greatly influences the stability of the epoxide  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ .

The best method for the purification of these canpounds is distillation which, in the case of the very unstable **3d**, is accompanied by an intense polymerisation while chromatography of the same **substrate on** diverse supports gives rise to a considerable loss of product. The other **epoxides a are stable canpounds** which were stored several months in ethereal solutions at -20°C without noticeable alteration.



#### Table

\* referred to d-chloro **aldehyde or ketones** 

The diastereoselection observed in the case of  $\mathcal{Z}_2$  is noteworthy since the obtained epoxide is mainly under the configuration having the two methyl **groups** in cis position (for structural determination, see below) ; the trans isomer represents only 4% of the mixture as revealed by

**capillary G.C. and confirmed by NMR. This result proved that** the **reaction of the lithiun acetylide with 3-chlorobutan-Z-one was highly diastereoselective giving predominantly the R\*S\* isaner of**  the intermediate chlorhydrin 6b.

Such a diastereoselectivity in the reaction of organometallics with  $\alpha$ -chloroketones has **been previously reported but to a less extent, a diastereoisaneric ratio of 4 being generally observed (lo), themore abundant** isaner **correspondingtotheFelkin-Anhmodel (11).** 

**The reaction of the lithiun acetylide of** 4 **with 3-chloro butan-2-one being particularly diastereoselective, we studied the same reaction starting with other acetylides in order to test the generality of this selectivity. As seen in table 2, the results differ to a large extent from cne case to the other** : **the reaction starting either fran hexyne or phenylacetylene proved to be as diastereoselective as in the case of the amine4 while this selectivity is lower when the sequence is run starting fran the propargylic alcohol 2-methyl but-3-yne 2-01 or when the acetylide of 1-hexyne iscondensed with 2-chloro butanal. Even if the reasons for such differences are unclear,**  it appears that this reaction of lithium acetylides with  $\sigma$  -chloro carbonyl compounds can often **proceed with a high** degree of stereoselectivity (12).

**As** already **mentioned, the** structure of the main isaner of the epoxide in each reaction can be hypothetized as being  $R^{*\leq *}$  on the basis of the Felkin-Anh model. This point was verified by  $^{13}$ C NMR **spectroscopy on the mixture 96/4 of both isomer of epoxide 1** resulting fran the reaction of the butyne acetylide with 3-chloro butan-2 one. This spectrum shows a neat  $\chi$ -shielding effect of the **two epoxidic methyl groups in the predominant** isomer referred to the minor one (13).





**\_I(main isaner) I(minor isomer)** 



Table 2

#### Biological tests of the epoxides 3

### Inhibition of cell arowth

The inhibitory action of the four epoxides  $3a-d$  was firstly determined on the growth in culture of two kinds of cells : normal hunan embryonic lung fibroblasts MC5 and a malignant cell line HeLa with concentrations of the epoxide in the culture medium up to 600 nmoles/ml (see experimental part for protocol). The results given in scheme 3 proved that the biological activity is fairly dependent on the substitution of the oxiranne : almost no activity is observed for  $\frac{1}{2}$ while  $\mathbf{\underline{x}}$  is a good inhibitor for both kinds of cells. A correct selectivity is exhibited by  $\mathbf{\underline{y}}$  at medium concentration (400 nmoles/ml) but this selectivity disappears for highter quantities of compound. The best of the epoxides  $j$  for both activity and selectivity is by far  $j_{2}$ , which has about the same characteristics as AMPAL  $\underline{1a}$  (6). Consequently, this compound was tested with other kinds of transformed cells (table 3) : in every case, an almost canplete inhibition of cell growth was observed in the corcentraticn range 400-600 nmoles/ml.

It is also noteworthy that the malondialdehyde content of HeLa cells treated by 600 nmoles/ml of 3g has increased to 30% compared to that in untreated cells, verifying that inhibition of growth is concomitant with the increase in the concentration of intracellular MDA.

Finally, the selective inhibition of the growth of transformed cells by this epoxide prcmpts us to determine whether the growth inhibitory effect would be more marked in the presence of AMPAL la which also selectively inhibits cell growth because of its activity on its target enzyme AlDH (6).

Accordingly, AMPAL and the epoxide 3a were added either individually or sequentually to HeLa cells in culture at  $2.10^{-4}$  M, at which concentration they each have but a limited effect on cell growth (AWPAL  $1g : 64\%$  inhibition ; epoxide  $2g : 21\%$  inhibition). When added sequentially at the same concentration, an additive inhibitory effect of 93% was observed.



Scheme 3

Dose nmole/ml	MRC5	HeLa	HEp2	293
50				20
100				50
200		10	20	90
400	10	70	80	dead
600	10	90.	dead	

Table 3 : inhibition of cell growth by the epoxide 3a

## **Enzvme inhibition**

The influence of the amino-epoxide 3a on the activities of aldehyde dehydrogenase and **aldehyde reductase was studied** : **if AlGti is almost insensitive to a, AlR is canpletely inhibited in 30 mn at high concentrations (1000 nmoles/ml) while this activity is immediatly reduced to 10%**  at 800 nmoles/ml. If the contact between the enzyme and 3a is maintained during one hour, this **activity is reduced to 25% by using only 200 nmoles/mlof the epoxide.** 

These results agree completely with the conjugated effect of <u>la</u> and AMPAL on the growth of **cells since these two compounds both increase the intracellular content of malondialdehyde by inhibiting two different** enzymes **that regulate thiscontent (see scheme 1).** 

### **In vivo tests**

**The in-vivo tests were performed on CBL black mice grafted with different kinds of tumwrs (L1210, P388, RBL5 and Lewis tumour) with the same experimental protocol used previously with AMPAL (7). Unfortunately, no antitumour activity was observed regardless of the type of tunour used (leukemia, carcinoma). This is probably due to themetabolian of the oxirane functionality by the epoxide hydrolase** present **in liver microsones (14).** 

### Conclusion

The results presented here show that the easily accessible and stable epoxide 3a is a **selective inhibitor of the growth of certain transformed cells in culture. Mfortunately, this "in**  vitro" activity is not observed during "in vivo" tests on mice grafted with transplantable tumours **due, at** least **in part, to the metabolism of this epoxide by hepatic epoxide hydrolase.** 

**The main interest of this study is to provide sane more evidence concerning the relationship between the inhibition of cell growth, the intracellular concentration of malondialdehyde and the activity of certain enzymes. Consequently, these results can help in providing specifications for the design of more effective inhibitors of the enzymes involved in the intracellular haneostasis ofmalondialdehyde.** 

**(the financial support of the Agence Nationale pour la Valorisation de la Recherche - AWAR - is greatly acknowledged. Thanks are due to Dr J.J.BARIEUX, Atochem CRRA - for his help in NMI spectroscopy).** 

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

- anhydrous THF is obtained by distillation over Na + benzophénone and anhydrous ether by **distillation over LiAlH4** 

**- commercialy available organolithiun** are titrated **before use by diphenylacetic acid (15)** 

**- G.C analyses are performed on a GIRCEL-CELSI 330 chromatograph (flame ionisaticn detector) on 25m capillary columns : col.A (FFAP), col.B (OV1701). In the descriptions**  $t_R$ **=retention time ;**  $\theta$  **= oven temperature** 

**- IR spectra are recorded with a Perkin Elmer 298, neat or in solution in chloroform. Only themost prominent or diagnostic peaks are reported (cm1).** 

- &i **NMR spectra are recorded on the following spectraeters** ; **Varian EM360 (60MHz) for routine**  spectra, Brucker 200WP, Brucker AM300 and Cameca 350, FT instruments operating at 200 and 350 MHz. **R NMR spectra were measured at 50,1MHz, 75 or 88MHz. Chemical shifts are expressed in ppn downfield fran tetramethylsilane. Significant ltl data are tabulated in the order** : **multiplicity (s,singlet** ; **d,doublet** ; t, **triplet** ; **q, quartet** ; **m, multiplet), coupling constant(s) in hertz, number** of **protons.** 

- Mass spectra (MS), m/z (relative intensity) are obtained from Varian-Mat CH5 ; VG3OF ; NERMAG  $R1010S$  spectrometers. Hihg resolution determinations are done with an AEI-MS902. In all cases, the **activation energy is70eV.** 

**- Acetylenic epoxides are oily canpounds giving generally microanalysis results out of the ccmmonly admitted limits, probably because of their relative unstability. However, their high resolution NMR spectra as well** as **their G.C. or T.L.C. analysis do not show the presence of other**  compounds ; their purity can be consequently estimated > 95%.

**-** Z-chlorobutanal is **prepared** according **to (16).** 

General procedure for the preparation of chlorhydrins 6

To a solution of  $6.4 \times 10^{-3}$  mole of the acetylenic compound in 25 ml THF maintained at  $-60^{\circ}$ C **under nitrogen, is added one molar equivalent (1.2 in the case of amine \_4, 2.4 in the case of**  2-methyl 3-butyne 2-ol) of a l.6M hexane solution of butyllithium. The temperature is raised to  $-10^{\circ}$ C in 30 mn and then decreased to  $-60^{\circ}$ C, before the addition of a solution of 6.lxl0<sup>-3</sup> mole of the  $\mathsf{K}\text{-}$ chloro carbonyl compound  $\underline{5}$  in 5 ml of THF. The temperature is raised to 20°C in 120 mn. The solution is diluted with ether, and hydrolyzed by 10 ml of a saturated aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. **The organic layer is washed with a minimum quantity of a saturated solution of NaCl, and then dried over MgSO4. Removal of the solvent gives the chlorhydrins pure** enough **to be used in thenext step. Incertaincase, purification of** a **sample ismadebyrecrystallisation.** 

5-Amino-l-chloro 2.5-dimethyl 3-hexyne 2-ol 6a

**Yield** : **85% F = 38-40°C (Ether) IR** : **3340, 3270, 3160, 22x), 1590, 1360, 1080, 740. NMR (ccl4** ; **60Mtiz)** : 1.50 (s, 6il) ; **1.40 (s, 3H)** ; **2.90 (s, exch., 3H)** ; **3.40 (s, 2H).**  6-Amino-2-chloro-3.6 dimethyl-4-heptyne 3-ol 6b **Yield : 93% IR** : **3340, 3280, 3170, 2240, 1590, 1380, 920, 700. NMR (CCI4, 60MHz)** : **1.30 (S, 6H)** ; **1.35 (s, 3ti)** ; **1.50 (d, J-6.5, 3tiz)** ; **2.60 (s, exch. 3HH)** ; **3.85 (q, J=6.5Hz, 1H)**  5-Aminol-chloro .5-methvl-2-phenyl 3-hexyne 2-ol 6c. **Yield** : 80% **F =116-118'C (chlorofon) IR (CHC13)** : **3560, 3360, 3290, 3060, 22x), 1590, 1350, 1290, 1060, 880, 700. RMN (CDC13, 60MHz)** : **1.40 (s, 6H)** ; **2.90 (s, exch., 3H)** ; **3.60 (s, 2H)** ; **7.15 to7.80 (m, W).**  5-Amino 1-chloro 5-methyl-3-hexyne 2-ol 6d **Yield** : **94% IR** : **3340, 3280, 3170, 2240, 1600, 1380, 1230, 1110, 1070, 760. NW?** : **(CDC13; 6OMHz)** : 1.40 (s, 6~) ; 3.30 (s, **exch., 3~1** ; **3.55 (d, J=6Hz, 2-i)** ; **4.50 (t, J=6Hz, Uif.**  2-Chloro 3-methyl 4-nonyne 3-ol **Yield** : **96%** 

IR: 3440, 2240, 1050, 920. <code>NMR</code> (CCl<sub>4</sub>, 60MHz) ; 0.90 (t, J=7Hz, 3H) ; 1.10 to 1.60 (m, 4H) ; 1.40 (s, 3H) ; 1.50 (d, J=6Hz, 3H) ; 1.95 to 2.50 (M, 3H) ; 3.85 (q, J=6Hz, 1H). **2-Chloro-3.6.6 trimethvlil-heotvne 3-01 Yield** : **97% IR** : **3420, 2260, 2230, 1270, 1075, 1050, 920, 770, 700. NMR** (CC14, 6OMHz) : 1.20 (s, 9H) ; 1.40 (s, 3H) ; 1.50 (d, J=7Hz, 3H) ; 2.65 (s, exch., 1H) ; 3.90 (q, **J=7Hz, 1H).**  2-Chloro 3.6-dimethyl-4-heptyne 3.6 diol **Yield** : **73% Iii** : **3420, 1175, 955, 860. NMR (COC13, 60MHz)** : **1.35 (s, x1)** ; 1.40 (s, 6H) ; 1.60 **(d, J=7Hz, 3H)** ; 2.95 (S, exch., w) ; **4.05 (q, J=7Hz, 1H). 3-Chloro 5-decvne4-01 Yield** : **95% IR : 3380. 2240. 1060. 103Uo. NMR (CCl4, ~OMHZ) (mixture of both diastereoisaners)** : **1.05 (t, J=Mz, 6H) ; 1.2Uto2.00 (M, 6H)** ; **2.00 to 2.35 (M, Z-i) ; 2.60 3 3.05 (M, exch., 1~)** ; **3.45 to 3.95 (m, lH)** ; **4.15 to 4.45 (m, O&I)** ; **4.75 to 4.95 (0.2H).**  General procedure for the preparation of epoxides. **To a vigorously stirred solution of 5.5x10-3mole of the chlorhydrin in 30 mlof anhydrous ether are added at -2O"C, 7.2x10-3mole of potassium t-butylate. The temperature is raised to 20°C in one hour and the solution is washed by 3x10 ml of a saturated solution of NaCl, dried over MgSO4 and the ether is renoved in vacua. The epoxide is then isolated by bulb to bulb distillation at T" iunder pressure. 2.5-Oimethvl1.2-eooxv-3-hexvne 5-amine a Yield** : **78% T = 150°C P = 20 Torrs**   $G.C : tr = 2.9$  mn  $(col A, \theta = 110^{\circ})$ **1ti** : **3360, 3290, x340, 2240, 1595, 1380, 1335, 1270, 860, 800. NMR 1~ (cUc13, 35UMHz)** : **1.36 (s, 6H)** ; **1,52 (d, 4J = 2Hz, ?+I)** ; **1.70 (s,** exch. Zii) ; 2.73 Cd, 2J= 5.6Hz, 1H) ; 2.96 (dxq, <sup>2</sup>J=5.6Hz, <sup>4</sup>J=2Hz, 1H). **NMR UC (COC13, 88MHz)** : **23.20 (q)** ; **31.57 (q)** ; **44.92 (s)** ; **46.92 (s)** ; **55.06 (t)** ; **79.00 (s)** ; **89.81 (5). MS. m/z(%)** : **139 (1, M+)** ; **138 (2)** ; **124 (100)** ; **108 (15)** ; **94 (31)** ; **82 (14)** ; 67 **(17)** ; **58 (9)** ; 42 **(34).**  Because of the biological activity of 3<sub>2</sub>, this compound was converted to its solid N-acetyl  $derivative$  in order to have a correct centesimal analysis : to a solution of  $0.326$  q  $(2.35 \times 10^{-3}$  mole) of **la** in 5 ml CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> cooled to 0°C are added successively 0.65 ml of NEt<sub>3</sub> and 0.482 g (4.7x10-3 **mole) of acetic anhydride with a crystal of CMAP.** The temperature is raised to 20°C and the mixture is stirred 4 hours and hydrolyzed. Extractian with ether, **usual work-up and recrystalisation in ether lead too.289 mg (68%) of the acetamide. F = 91-93°C (Ether) IH (CHC13)** : **3440, 1680, 1500. NMR (CC14, 60MHz)** : 1.50 (s, **3H)** ; **1.55 (s, 6H)** ; **1.90 (s, 3H) ; 2.65 (d, 2J=5Hz, 1H)** ; **2.95 (d, 2J=5Hz, 1H) ; 6.20 (s, 1H). M.S (m/z, X)** : **181 (3, M+) ; 166 (6) ; 151 (13) ; 136 (15) ; 124 (18) ; 110 (20) ; 95 (30) ; 94 (23) ; 54 (15) ; 52 (13) ; 45 (100) ; 44 (91)** ; **43 (32)** ; **41 (20)** . **Anal.** : **(ClOHl5NO2)** : **Calc. C66.27** ; **H 8.34 ; N 7.73 ; 0 17.66. found : C 66.21; H 8.30 ; N 7.54 ; 0 17.95** 

2.5-Dimethyl 5.6-epoxy 3-heptyne -2-amine 3b Yield: 45%  $T = 150^{\circ}C$  P = 20 Torrs G.C : t<sub>R</sub> trans = 2.9 mn (4%) ; t<sub>R</sub> cis = 3.9 mn (96%) (col.A,  $\theta$  = 110°C) IR: 3360, 3300, 2220, 1600, 1270, 1070, 850. NMR 4H (CDC13, 350 MHz) (R\*S\* isomer) : 1.30 (d, J=5.6Hz, 3H) ; 1.38 (s, 6H) ; 1.46 (s, 3H) ; 1.76 (s, exch., 2H) ; 3.21 (q, J=5.6Hz, 1H). NMR  $E$ C (CDC1<sub>3</sub>, 88MHz) (R\*S\* isomer) : 13.60 (q) ; 18.46 (q) ; 31.66 (q) ; 45.08 (s) ; 50.82 (s) ;  $60.53$  (d);  $80.59$  (s);  $89.36$  (s). G.C - M.S (M/z, %): (R\*R\* isomer): 153 (, M+); 152 (4); 108 (15); 95 (10); 94 (100); 93 (11); 69 (17) ; 53 (10) ; 44 (15) ; 43 (28) ; 42 (73) ; 41 (31) ; 39 (21) ;. (R\*S\* isomer) : 153 ( , M+) ; 152 (3); 138 (16); 108 (15); 94 (100); 93 (10); 91 (11); 53 (11); 51 (11); 44 (17); 43 (36); 41  $(26)$ ; 40  $(11)$ ; 39  $(25)$ . High resolution (run on the mixture) : Calc. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NO (M-H) : 152. 1074; found : 152. 1074. 1.2-Epoxy 5-methyl 2-phényl -3-hexyne 5-amine 3c  $Yield: 45%$  $T = 140^{\circ}C - P = 0.1$  Torr IR: 3360, 3290, 3060, 2240, 1600, 1300, 890, 760, 700. NMR (CDCl3, 350 MHz): 1.44 (s, 6H); 1.82 (s, exch., 2H); 2.97 (d, 2J = 6.3Hz, 1H); 3.37 (d, 2J = 6.3 Hz, lH) ; 7.28 to 7.49 (m, 5H). M.S (m/z, %) : 201 (6, M+) ; 200 (30) ; 186 (36) ; 171 (22) ; 170 (49) ; 156 (100) ; 129 (33) ; 128  $(36)$ ; 115 (47); 105 (32); 77 (30); 58 (55); 51 (23); 42 (84). 1.2-Epoxy 5-methyl 3-hexyne 5-amine 3d Yield: 5%  $T = 100^{\circ}C - P = 0.05$  Torr IR: 3360, 3290, 3050, 2240, 1600, 1370, 1220, 870, 820. NMR (CDCl3, 350 MHz) : 1.39 (s, 6H) ; 2.07 (s, exch., 2H) ; 2.85 (dxd, 2J = 5.6Hz, Jcis = 2.8Hz, 1H) ; 2.90 (dxd, 2J = 5.6Hz, J<sub>trans</sub> = 4.2Hz, lH) ; 3.36 (dxd, J<sub>trans</sub> = 4.2Hz, J<sub>Cis</sub> = 2.8Hz, lH). 2.3-Epoxy 3-methyl 4-nonyne 7 Yield: 68%  $T = 130^{\circ}C - P = 20$  Torrs t<sub>R</sub> trans = 3.4 mn (4%); t<sub>R</sub> cis = 4.5 mn (96%) - (col. A,  $\theta$  = 90°C) IR: 3000, 2240, 1260, 1075. NMR 4H (CDC13, 350MHz) (R\*S\* isomer) : 0.90 (t, J = 7.1Hz, 3H) ; 1.29 (d, J=5.5Hz, 3H) ; 1.36 to 1.51  $(m, 4H)$ ; 1.46 (s, 3H): 2.18 (t, J=7.1Hz, 2H); 3.20 (q, J=5.5Hz, 1H). NMR <sup>13</sup>C (CDC1<sub>3</sub>, 50MHz) (R\*S\* isomer) : 13.37 (q) ; 13.44 (q) ; 18.1 (t) ; 18.4 (q) ; 21.7 (t) ; 30.4 (t); 50.9 (s); 60.3 (d); 80.87 (s); 82.3 (s). G.C - M.S (m/z, %) (R\*R\* isomer): 137 (9, M-Me); 110 (10); 109 (11); 93 (60); 91 (29); 79 (44); 77 (37) ; 66 (18) ; 53 (15) ; 51 (13) ; 43 (100) ; 41 (44) ; 40 (20) ; 39 (90). (R\*S\* isomer) : 137 (88, M-Me); 109 (10); 93 (54); 91 (28); 79 (42); 77 (38); 66 (22); 53 (15); 51 (13); 43 (100); 41  $(42)$ ; 40  $(20)$ ; 39  $(87)$ . 5.6-Epoxy 2.2.5-trimethyl 3-heptyne 8 Yield: 76%  $T = 120^{\circ}C - P = 20$  Torrs G.C :  $t_R$  trans = 3.0 mn (5%);  $t_R$  cis = 3.4 mn (95%) - (col.B,  $\theta$  = 100°C) IR: 3000, 2290, 2230, 1290, 1075, 890, 850, 770, 720. NMR 4H (CDC1<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) (R\*S\* isomer) : 1.26 (s, 9H) ; 1.35 (d, J=5.5Hz, 3H) ; 1.50 (s, 3H) ; 3.22  $(q, J=5.5 Hz, lH)$ 

NMR  $^{13}$ C (CDCl3, 75 MHz) (R\*S\* isomer) : 13.65 (q) ; 18.68 (q) ; 27.20 (s) ; 30.87 (q) ; 50.84 (s) ; 60.40 (d); 79.46 (s); 90.33 (s). G.C - M.S (m/z, %) trans isomer : 137 (10, M-Me); 108 (6); 93 (74); 91 (73); 79 (23); 77 (100); 53 (23) ; 51 (22) ; 43 (59) ; 41 (50) ; 39 (52). cis isomer : 137 (11, M-Me) ; 108 (12) ; 93 (95) ; 91  $(82)$ ; 79  $(26)$ ; 77  $(100)$ ; 53  $(22)$ ; 51  $(20)$ ; 43  $(74)$ ; 41  $(59)$ ; 39  $(55)$ . 2.5-Dimethyl 5.6-epoxy 3-heptyne 2-ol 9 Yield: 70%  $T = 100^{\circ}C - P = 1$  torr  $t_R$  trans = 4.0 mm (15%);  $t_R$  cis = 4.6 mm (85%) - (col.B,  $\Theta = 120^{\circ}$ C) IR: 3420, 1270, 1170, 1075, 950, 850. NMR ⊥H (CDCl3, 300 MHz) : 1.30 (d, J=5.5Hz, 2.55H) ; 1.41 (d, J=5.2Hz, 0.45H) ; 1.46 (s, 2.55H- ; 1.48 (s, 5.10H); 1.50 (s, 1.35H); 2.98 (q, J=5.2Hz, 0.15H); 3.23 (q, J=5.5Hz, 0.85H); 3.91 (s,  $exch., 1H).$ NMR  $^{13}$ C (CDCl3, 75MHz) ; R\*R\* isomer : 15.44 (q) ; 23.26 (q) ; 31.36 (q) ; 52.81 (s) ; 60.88 (d) ; 64.68 (s); 79.16 (s); 89.51 (s). R\*S\* isomer: 13.50 (q); 18.21 (q); 31.28 (q); 50.89 (s); 60.45  $(s)$ ; 64.59 (s); 82.12 (s); 86.83 (s). G.C - M.S (m/z, %) : R\*R\* isomer : 110 (5, M-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>0) ; 95 (18) ; 67 (5) ; 51 (5) ; 43 (100) ; 41 (13) ; 39 (9). R\*S\* isomer : 110 (6, M-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>0) ; 95 (17) ; 67 (5) ; 43 (100) ; 41 (14) ; 39 (10). 3.4-Epoxy 5-decyne 10 Yield:  $57%$  $T = 140^{\circ}C - P = 20$  Torrs t<sub>R</sub> cis = 5.1 mn (20%) ; t<sub>R</sub> trans = 5.5 mm (80%) - (col.B,  $\theta$  = 120°C) IR: 2240, 880 NMR (CCl4, 60MHz) : 0.65 à 1.20 (m, 6H) ; 1.20 to 1.85 (M, 6H) ; 1.95 to 2.40 (M, 2H) ; 2.70 to 2.95 (m, 11.8 H) ; 3.15 (dxt,  $J_{\text{cis}} = 4$ Hz ;  $6J = 1.5$ Hz, 0.2H). G.C - M.S (m/z, %) : cis isomer : 152 (1, M+) ; 123 (3) ; 109 (1) ; 95 (12) ; 81 (23) ; 79 (100) ; 77 (37) ; 57 (21) ; 53 (21) ; 52 (45) ; 51 (30) ; 42 (26) ; 41 (55) ; 39 (71). trans isomer : 152 (1, M+) ; 123 (3); 109 (4); 95 (12); 81 (18); 79 (100); 77 (42); 66 (21); 65 (19); 57 (17); 55 (20); 53  $(21)$ ; 52 (46); 51 (30); 42 (28); 41 (48); 39 (62).

### **Biological tests**

### Effect of synthetic products on cell growth

The cells used were: human embryonic lung fibroblasts MRC5 (kind gift of Institut Mérieux Lyon) ; normal rat kidney cells NRK, NRK transformed by the Prague strain of Rous Sarcoma Virus B77 and HeLa, a human epitheloïd carcinoma of the cervix (all obtained from the American Tissue Culture Collection) ; Hep2 - a cell line derived from a carcinoma of human larynx ; 293 : a cell line derived from human embryonic kidney transformed by the DNA of Adenovirus 5.

2.10<sup>5</sup> cells were seeded into 6 cm plastic Petri dishes containing 5 ml of Eagle's minimum essential medium containing glutamine supplemented with 10% new born calf serum. Cells were incubated at 37°C in a humid atmosphere of air + CO<sub>2</sub> (95/5). 4h after seeding, the compounds to be studied, in solution in 0.14 M aqueous NaCl were added at the concentrations indicated in table 3. Controls contained 0.14 M aqueous NaCl alone or equivalent amount of solvent if the product was not water soluble. After 4 days in culture, cells were harvested and the effect on growth determined either by direct cell count or by protein content. Results are expressed as percentage inhibition compared to solvent treated controls.

#### Determination of malondialdehyde

Malondialdehyde was **measured in the form of itsthiobarbituric acid derivative by its O.D. at 532 rm according to the method** described previously (3). For microquantities of malondialdehyde 1 nmol) the thiobarbituric derivative was measured by its fluorescence  $\mu$ ex 532 nm ;  $\mu$  em 553nm as described (17).

#### Enzymatic assays

Preparation **of homogenates** from cells in tissue culture : **the cell sheets** were rinsed twice with cold 0.14 M **aqueous NaCl after which the cells were scraped off with a rubber-covered** rod, transferred to **tubes and washed by centrifugation at600 g for 5 mn. The cell pellet was stored at -2O'C until use. Cells were disrupted by sonication in a** Bronscn sonifier **for 2 set at output 2 with amicroprobe and finally suspended in 0.14M aqueous NaCl.** 

**Assay of aldehyde reductase** : **To 1 ml of cell homogenate from NRKB77 cells** containing 5.0 mg protein was added compound 3a in three separate doses of 200, 800 and 1000 nmol/ml. After incubation for 15, 30 and 60 mn, an aliquot of  $100\,\mu$ l containing 500  $\mu$ g protein was added to a micro cuvette containing 0.3 ml of 0.1M phosphate buffer pH6, the substrate malondialdehyde at **2 mmole and the cofactor NAOPH at 35** mmole **and** brought **to a finalvolune of lmlwith water.** 

**The contents of the cuvette were rapidly mixed by inversion, and the O.D. noted. This gave the "0" time O.D. Readings were made at.1** mn intervals for a total of 5 **mn. Inhibition was assessed by the decrease in O.O./mn between homogenates preincubated with inhibitor (experimental) and homogenates without inhibitor (control).** 

#### References

- 1. a) MAMONT P.S., DUCHESNES M.C., GROVE J. and BEY P., Biochem.Biophy.Res.Comm., 1978, 81, 58. **b) HEBY 0., Differentiation, 1981, 19. 1.**
- **2. a) WN S. et BACHRACH U., Cancer Res., 1975, 2, 3618.** 
	- **b) GAZDAR A.F., STULL H.B., KILTON L.J. and BACHRACH U., Nature, 1976, 262, 696.**
	- **c) BACHRACH U., Advances in Polyamines Research, CAMPBELL R. Ed., Raven** Press New York, 1978, 1, 83.
- 3. **QUASHG., RIPOLL H., GAZZOLO L., WUTHEAU A., SABA A. andGORE J., Biochimie, 1987,@, 101.**
- 4. SEILER N., ROEKENUIS F.N. and RENNERT O.M., Medical Biology, 1981, 59, 334-346.
- 5. BIRD R.P. and DRAPER H.H., J.Toxicol.Health, 1980, 6, 811.
- **6. a) QUASH G., RIPOLL H., CHANTEPIE J., SABA A., DOUTHEAU A. and GORE J., Biochem.Pharmacol., in**  press.

**b) OOUTHEAUA., GORE J. et QUASH G., FrenchPat.(1983), 873, 12863 and U.S.Pat.(1984), 637495.** 

- **7.QUEMENER V., QUASH G., MOULINOUX** J.P., **RIPOLL H., DOUTHEAU A. and GORE J., Europ.J.Cancer submitted for publication.**
- **8.PERVEEV F.A., J.Gen.Chem.USSR, 1948, 18, 686** ; **Chem.Abstr., 1949, a, 3355.**
- 9. a) D.F.COVEY and C.H.ROBINSON, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 1976, 28, 5038.
- b) J.F.TAM, R.W.SPENCER, E.M.THOMAS, J.J.COPP and K.KRANTZ, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 1984, 106, 6849.
- **10. SAULEAU J., Bull.Soc.chim.Fr., 1978, 474.**
- **11. a) CHERESTM., FELKIN H. and PRUDENT N., Tetrahedron** Letters, 1968,2199. **b) NGUYEN-TRONG-ANH andEISENSTEIN O., TetrahedronLetters, 1976, 155** ; **Nouv.J.chim.,1977, 1, 61.**
- **12. A high diastereoselectivity of this kind of reaction was mentioned in a synthesis of PGA2** : **MARTEL J., BLADE-FONT A., MARIE C., VIVATM., TOROMANOFF E. and BLENDIA J., Bull.Soc.chim.Fr., 1978(11), 131.**
- **13.** For general **NMR data of epoxides andg-shielding effects see SCHNEIDER** H.J. **and AGRAWALK P.K., Magnetic Resonance in Chemistry, 1986-24-718 and** references there in.
- 14. **KAUR S:andGILL S., Biochem.Pharmacol. 1986, E, 1299.**
- **15. KOFROM W.G. and BACLAWSKI L.M.,** J.Org.chem., 1976, 4A, 1879.
- 16. BROW **H.C. et ASH A.B.,** J.&ner.chem.Soc., 1955, Z, 4019.
- 17. **YUL., LATRIPNOL., DUNCAN S., HARTWICKR., WITZG., Anal.Biochem, &, 326.**